CIVIL COVER SHEET

APPENDIX H

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	Malcom Peele		-	DEFENDANTS	City	of Phila	delphia,			
2528 Napa Str	teet				•		,			
Philadelphia, PA 19132				1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor						
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff	Philadelphia		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Philadelphia						
(E	EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C.	ASES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)						
					ND CONDEMN NOLVED.	ATION CASES, U	SE THE LOCATION	ON OF TH	E	
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Jay A. Hochberg, Esq.				Attorneys (If Known) Jeffrey S. Simons, Esq.						
1315 WAlnut Str				1515 Arc	I Street	:, 14th F	loor			
T. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X"	n One Box Only)	III. CI	Ph i 1a de TIZENSHIP OF I For Diversity Cases Only)	PRINCIPA	PA 19102 L PARTIES				
1 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question				DEF		and One Box	PTF	DEF	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government	t Not a Party)	PTF Citize	n of This State	71 (7).	Incorporated or Pr	rincipal Place	D 4	DEF □ 4	
Ga us com	G	,				of Business In Thi		5 4	0,	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity	in sensitive en	Citize	n of Another State	32 02	Incorporated and I of Business In .		☐ 5	D 5	
	(maicale Chizensi	nip of Parties in Item III)	Citina	n or Subject of a	.		Colonies Cilité		a .	
				eign Country	J 3 (J 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	CJ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Or	ıly)	ereni barana							
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	RTS PERSONAL INJUR		EFTURE/PENALTY **** 0 Agriculture	_	KRUPTCY				
120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	362 Personal Injury	1	O Other Food & Drug	1 422 Appe	al 28 USC 158 Irawal	O 400 State R		ment	
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	315 Airplane Product Liability	Med. Malpractice		5 Drug Related Seizure	28 US	C 157	430 Banks a	and Bankin	g	
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability		of Property 21 USC 881 0 Liquor Laws	ESPROPER	TY RIGHTS	☐ 450 Commo			
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	368 Asbestos Persona	d [C] 64	0 R.R. & Truck	☐ 820 Copy		☐ 470 Rackete		ed and	
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	330 Federal Employers' Liability	Injury Product		0 Airline Regs.	☐ 830 Paten	t _	Corrupt	Organizatio		
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	Liability PERSONAL PROPER		0 Occupational Safety/Health	☐ 840 Trade	mark	☐ 480 Consum ☐ 490 Cable/S			
(Excl. Veterans)	O 345 Marine Product	370 Other Fraud	1	0 Other			☐ 490 Cable/S ☐ 810 Selectiv			
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending		LABOR		SECURITY	🛭 850 Securiti		dities/	
160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage	U 71	0 Fair Labor Standards Act	☐ 861 HIA (Exchang			
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	385 Property Damage	O 72	0 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 862 Black ☐ 863 DIW	C/DIWW (405(g))	875 Custom 12 USC		ic.	
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal	Product Liability		0 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 864 SSID	Title XVI	☐ 890 Other S		tions	
REAL PROPERTY	Injury CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	VE 0 74	& Disclosure Act 0 Railway Labor Act	□ 865 RSI (4		D 891 Agricul			
210 Land Condemnation	☐ 441 Voting	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate		0 Other Labor Litigation		LTAX-SUITS (U.S. Plaintiff	892 Econom			
220 Foreclosure	☐ 442 Employment	Sentence		I Empl. Ret. Inc.		endant)	O 894 Energy			
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus:	1	Security Act	□ 871 IRS		☐ 895 Freedon			
245 Tort Product Liability	U 444 Welfare	530 General 535 Death Penalty			26 USG	C 7609	Act 900Appeal o	ere ne		
290 All Other Real Property	🗗 445 Amer, w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Oth	er					qual Acces		
	Employment 446 Amer, w/Disabilities -	550 Civil Rights					to Justice	2		
	Other	☐ 555 Prison Condition					☐ 950 Constitu State Sta		ſ	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1440 Other Civil Rights						State Sta	tuies		
Ol Original X2 Ro	an "X" in One Box Only) emoved from	Remanded from	4 Reinst	ated or 5 Trans	ferred from er district	□6 Multidistr		Appeal to udge fron Aggistrate	n	
Proceeding St	ate Court	Appellate Court	Reope	ned (speci	141	Littigation	j	udgment		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Che die O.S. Civil Si	ntute under which you ar		o not este jurisaietion	ial statutes u	nless diversity):				
	Brief description of ca	redeal		Rights						
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION	DE	MAND \$	Cł	IECK YES only	if demanded in	complair	ıt:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P.	. 23		-	_ J(JRY DEMAND:	☐ Yes	X No		
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET	NUMBER				
DATE O /- ()		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY O	FRECOKO		···				
4/26/	OX	/ Inn	1) .	سند (۱						
OR OFFICE USE ONLY				- /J · · ·)						
RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT	ADDI VINO US		a						
		APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG, JUD	OGE			

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA – DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Plaintiff: 2528 Napa Street, Philadelphia, PA 19312 Address of Defendant: 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19102 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? Yes 🗆 No 🗆 RELATED CASE IF ANY: __ Date Terminated: Case Number: Judge _ Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within Yes 🗆 No □ one year previously terminated action in this court? Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction Yes No 🗆 as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or Yes 🗆 No □ any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? CIVIL: (Place X in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: θ Indemity Contract, Marine Contract, and All 1. θ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts Other Contracts 2. θ Airplane Personal Injury 2. θ **FELA** 3. θ Assault, Defamation 3. θ Jones Act – Personal Injury 4. θ Marine Personal Injury 4 θ Antitrust 5. θ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 5. θ Patent 6. θ Other Personal Injury (Please specify) θ Labor-Management Relations 6. 7. θ Products Liability 7. x Civil Rights 8. θ Products Liability – Asbestos θ Habeas Corpus 9. θ All other Diversity Cases (Please specify) 8. 9. θ Securities Act(s) Cases 10. θ Social Security Review Cases 11. θ All Other Federal Questions Cases (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check appropriate Category) I, <u>Jeffrey Simons</u>, counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53, Section 3(c), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought. DATE: Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D. NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.

93784

Attorney I.D. #

CIV. 609 (9/99)

DATE:

Malcome Peele 2528 Napa Street Berwyn, PA 19132 **Plaintiff**

CIVIL ACTION

No.

VS. CITY OF PHILADELPHIA 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia PA 19103 and Police Officer John Doe 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia PA 19103

Defendants

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

(a)	Habeas Corpus Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. §2241 through § 2255.	(,	<i>.</i> :)
(b)	Social Security Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.	()
(c)	Arbitration Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
(d)	Asbestos Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.	()
(e)	Special Management Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this_form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)	()

Case 2:08-cv-04661-JCJ Document 1 Filed 09/26/2008 Page 4 of 20

(f) Standard Management -- Cases that do not fall into any

one of the other tracks.

(X)

· F

Date

Jeffrey Simons, Esquire Attorney for Defendant City of Philadelphia

(Civ. 660) 7/95

Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan Section 1:03 – Assignment to a Management Track

- (a) The clerk of court will assign cases to tracks (a) through (d) based on the initial pleading.
- (b) In all cases not appropriate for assignment by the clerk of court to tracks (a) through (d), the plaintiff shall submit to the clerk of court and serve with the complaint on all defendants a case management track designation form specifying that the plaintiff believes the case requires Standard Management or Special Management. In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, the defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.
- c) The court may, on its own initiative or upon the request of any party, change the track assignment of any case at any time.
- d) Nothing in this Plan is intended to abrogate or limit a judicial officer's authority in any case pending before that judicial officer, to direct pretrial and trial proceedings that are more stringent than those of the Plan and that are designed to accomplish cost and delay reduction.
- e) Nothing in this Plan is intended to supersede Local Civil Rules 40.1 and 72.1, or the procedure for random assignment of Habeas Corpus and Social Security cases referred to magistrate judges of the court.

SPECIAL MANAGEMENT CASE ASSIGNMENTS (See § 1.02 (e) Management Track Definitions of the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan)

Special Management cases will usually include that class of cases commonly referred to as "complex litigation" as that term has been used in the Manuals for Complex Litigation. The first manual was prepared in 1969 and the Manual for Complex Litigation Second, MCL 2d was prepared in 1985. This term is intended to include cases that present unusual problems and require extraordinary treatment. See §0.1 of the first manual. Cases may require special or intense management by the court due to one or more of the following factors: (1) large number of parties; (2) large number of claims or defenses; (3) complex factual issues; (4) large volume of evidence; (5) problems locating or preserving evidence; (6) extensive discovery; (7) exceptionally long time needed to prepare for disposition; (8) decision needed within an exceptionally short time; and (9) need to decide preliminary issues before final disposition. It may include two or more related cases. Complex litigation typically includes such cases as antitrust cases; cases involving a large number of parties or an unincorporated association of large membership; cases involving requests for injunctive relief affecting the operation of large business entities; patent cases; copyright and trademark cases; common disaster cases such as those arising from aircraft crashes or marine disasters; actions brought by individual stockholders; stockholder's derivative and stockholder's representative actions; class actions or potential class actions; and other civil (and criminal) cases involving unusual multiplicity or complexity of factual issues. See §0.22 of the first Manual for Complex Litigation and Manual for Complex Litigation Second, Chapter 33.

Malcom Peele 2528 Napa Street Berwyn, PA 19132 **Plaintiff**

CIVIL ACTION

No.

٧s. **CITY OF PHILADELPHIA** 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia PA 19103 Police Officer John Doe 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia PA 19103

Defendants

NOTICE OF FILING REMOVAL

TO: Prothonotary Court of Common Pleas Room 295 City Hall

Jay A. Hochberg, Esquire 1315 Walnut Street, Suite 716 Philadelphia, PA 19107

Philadelphia, PA 19107

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on September 26, 2008 defendant the City of Philadelphia, filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, a Notice of Removal of the above-captioned matter to the U.S. District Court.

A copy of the Notice of Removal is also being filed with the Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(d).

Respectfully submitted,

Jeffrey S. Simons, Esquire Assistant City Solicitor City of Philadelphia Law-Department 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

(215) 685-5443

Malcom Peele 2528 Napa Street Berwyn, PA 19132 Plaintiff **CIVIL ACTION**

No.

vs.
CITY OF PHILADELPHIA
1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor
Philadelphia PA 19103
and
Police Officer John Doe
1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor
Philadelphia PA 19103

Defendants

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Petitioners, The City of Philadelphia, defendant in the above-captioned Civil Action, by and through their undersigned attorney, respectfully place the court on notice of the removal of this action from the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and in support thereof, aver the following information:

- 1. Petitioners are the defendant in this civil action brought by plaintiff. Petitioners received plaintiff's complaint on September 3, 2008.
- 2. Attached hereto as Exhibit "A" is a true and correct copy of plaintiff's complaint which is being removed to federal court pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1443.

3. In his complaint, plaintiff alleges that the defendants violated his civil rights under the United States Constitution, including his due process rights secured by the Fourth, and Fourteenth Amendments. Federal jurisdiction for this matter therefore exists under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

WHEREFORE, petitioners place the court on notice that the instant matter presently docketed at August Term, 2008, No. 3884, has been removed from the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

Respectfully Submitted,

Jeffrey S. Simons, Esquire Assistant City Solicitor

City of Philadelphia Law Department

1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19107

(215) 683-5443

Date 9/26/08

Attorney for Defendant The City of Philadelphia

Malcom Peele 2528 Napa Street Berwyn, PA 19132 Plaintiff **CIVIL ACTION**

No.

vs.
CITY OF PHILADELPHIA
1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor
Philadelphia PA 19103
and
Police Officer John Doe
1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor
Philadelphia PA 19103

Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Jeffrey S. Simons, Esquire, hereby certify that on September 26, 2008, a true and correct copy of the within Notice of Removal of Civil Action from State Court to the United States District Court was served upon counsel for plaintiff listed below by first-class United States Mail, postage prepaid.

Jay A. Hochberg, Esquire 1315 Walnut Street, Suite 716 Philadelphia, PA 19107

Jeffrey S. Simons, Esquire Assistant City Solicitor City of Philadelphia, Law Department 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

Document 1

VERIFICATION

Jeffrey S. Simons, declares, under penalties of perjury, that he is the attorney of record for defendant, City of Philadelphia, that the facts set forth in the foregoing Notice of Removal are true to the best of her knowledge, and belief, and that on September 26, 2008, he caused to be filed with the Prothonotary of the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County a copy of this Notice of Removal together with attachments.

Date_ 9/26/08

Assistant City Solicitor Counsel for Defendant City of Philadelphia

Case 2:08-cv-04661-JCJ Document 1 Filed 09/26/2008 Page 11 of 20

EXHIBIT

A

Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia Cou	nty For Prothonotary Use Onl	y (Docket Number)		
Trial Division Civil Cover Sheet	AUGUST 2008	003884		
PLAINTIFF'S NAME Malcoim Peele	DEFENDANT'S NAME City of Philadelphia			
PLAINTIFF'S ADDRESS	DEFENDANT'S ADDRESS			
2528 Napa Street	1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor			
Philadelphia, PA 19132	Philadelphia, PA 19103			
	Police Officer John Doe			
PLAINTIFF'S ADDRESS	DEFENDANT'S ADDRESS	The state of the s		
	1515 Arch Street. 14th Floor			
PLAINTIFF'S NAME	Philadelphia, PA 19103			
	DEFENDANT'S NAME			
PLAINTIFF'S ADDRESS	DEFENDANT'S ADDRESS			
TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAINTIFFS TOTAL NO OF DEFENDANTS	COMMENCEMENT OF ACTION	part.		
1 2	✓ Complaint Petition Action Writ of Summons Transfer From Other Juris	Motice of Appeal adictions		
AMOUNT IN CONTROVERSY COURT PROGRAMS				
	ss Tori Commerce (mgs Action Minor Court Appea)	Settlement Minors		
Non-Jury Pet	ition Statutory Appeals	W/D/Survival		
CASE TYPE AND CODE (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)				
2B - Assault and Battery		:		
STATUTORY BASIS FOR CAUSE OF ACTION (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)		1 P		
Complaint		4°		
RELATED PENDING CASES (LIST BY CASE CAPTION AND DOCKET NUMBER)	The second secon	IS CASE SUBJECT TO COORDINATION ORDER?		
		Yes No		
		Π Π		
TO THE PROTHONOTARY:				
Kindly enter my appearance on behalf of Plaintiff/Pet	itioner, Appellant:			
Papers may be served at the address set forth below.				
NAME OF PLAINTIFF'S/PETITIONER'S/APPELLANT'S ATTORNEY	ADDRESS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)			
Jay A. Hochberg	1315 Walnut Street, Suite 716			
PHONE NUMBER FAX NUMBER (215) 825-5183 (215) 279-8702	Philadelphia, PA 19107			
SUPREME COURT IDENTIFICATION NO	E-MAIL ADDRESS			
89232	jay@hlzlaw.com			
SIGNATURE In 1 fortibe	DATE Average 20, 2009			
	August 29, 2008			

ATTEST

HOCHBERG, LEVIN & ZEIGER, LLP

BY: JAY A. HOCHBERG Identification No.: 89232 1315 Walnut Street, Suite 716 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107

215.825.5183 jay@hlzlaw.com

V.

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF AIR 2 9 2008

J. MURPHY

Arbitration Matter

MALCOLM PEELE 2528 Napa Street Philadelphia, PA 19132

Plaintiff.

USTED ESTA URDENADO
COMPARECER EN
ARBITRATION HEARING
1880 JFK BLVD.. STH FL.
PHILADELPHIA PA 19103
TIME:
APP 28 2009

WITH THE NOTICE BELOW.
USTED TODAVIA DEBE
CUMPLIR CON EL AVISO
PARA DEFENDERSE

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

AUGUST 2008

TERM. 2008

No.

003884

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA. et. al

NOTICE TO DEFEND

NOTICE

You have been sued in court. If you wish to defend against the claims set forth in the following pages, you must take action within twenty (20) days after the complaint and notice are served, by entering a written appearance personally or by attorney and filing in writing with the court your defenses or objections to the claims set forth against you. You are warned that if you fail to do so the case may proceed without you and a judgment may be entered against you by the court without further notice for any money claimed in the complaint or for any other claim or relief requested by the plaintiff. You may lose money or property or other rights important to you

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE. GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

PHILADELPHIA BAR ASSOCIATION

Lawyer Referral and Information Service1101 Market Street, 11th FloorPhiladelphia, Pennsylvania 19107(215) 238-1701 AVISO

Le han demandado a usted en la corte. Si usted quiere defenderse de est demandas expuestas en las páginas siguientes, usted tiene veinte (20) días plazo al partir de la fecha de la demanda y la notificación. Hace falta asent una comparencia escrita o en persona o con un abogado y eqtregar a la coi en forma escrita sus defensas o sus objeciones a las demandas en contra de persona. Sea avisado que si usted no se defiende, la corte tomará medidas puede continuar la demanda en contra suya sus previo aviso o notificació Además, la corte puede decidir a favor del demandante y requiere que ust cumpla con todas las provisiones de esta demanda. Usted puede perder dine o sus propiedades u otros derechos importantes para usted.

LLEVE ESTA DEMANDA A UN ABOGADO INMEDIATAMENT SI NO TIENE ABOGADO O SI NO TIENE EL DINERO SUFICIENTE I PAGAR TAL SERVICIO. VAYA EN PERSONA O LLAME PC TELEFONO A LA OFICINA CUYA DIRECCION SE ENCUENTR ESCRITA ABAJO PARA AVERIGUAR DONDE SE PUEI CONSEGUIR ASISTENCIA LEGAL

ASOCIACIÓN DE LICENCIADOS DE FILADELFIA

Servicio De Referencia E Información Legal1101 Mark Street, 11th FloorFiladelfia, Pennsylvania 19107(215) 238-1701

THIS MATTER WILL BE HEARD BY A
BOARD OF ARBITRATORS
AT THE TIME DATE AND PLACE.
SPECIFIED DUT: IF ONE OR
MORE PARTIES IS NOT PRESENT AT
THE HEARDAN, FILE MATTER
MAY BE HEARD AT THE SAME TIME
AND DATE BEFORE A PLOGE OF THE
COURT WITHOUT THE ABBENT FARTY
OR PARTIES. THERE IS NO RIGHT TO
A TRIAL DENOVO ON APPEAL FROM
A DECISION ENTERED BY A JUDGE.

HOCHBERG, LEVIN & ZEIGER, LLP

BY: JAY A. HOCHBERG Identification No.: 89232 1315 Walnut Street, Suite 716 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107

215.825.5183 jay@hlzlaw.com ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

Arbitration Matter

MALCOLM PEELE 2528 Napa Street Philadelphia, PA 1913

Philadelphia. PA 19132

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

1,1

Plaintiff. : TERM. 2008

v. : No.

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA :
c/o Law Department :
One Parkway. 15th Floor :

1515 Arch Street

Philadelphia, PA 19103

and

UNKNOWN PHILADELPHIA POLICE : OFFICERS JOHN DOES. INDIVIDUALLY AND :

IN THIER OFFICIAL CAPACITY

c/o Law Department One Parkway, 15th Floor 1515 Arch Street Philadelphia. PA 19103

Defendants.

DUALLY AND:

CIVIL ACTION - COMPLAINT

2B - ASSAULT AND BATTERY

Parties

- 1. Plaintiff Malcolm Peele is an individual residing at 2528 Napa Street. Philadelphia, PA 19132.
- 2. The remaining Plaintiff's are the minor children of Plaintiff Owens and reside with her.
- 3. Defendant City of Philadelphia is a municipality of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and owns, operates, manages, and controls the City of Philadelphia Police Department which employs Defendants Philadelphia Police Officers John Does.
- 4. Defendants Unknown Philadelphia Police Officer John Does are and wer at all times relevant to this action police officers with the City of Philadelphia Police Department and acting under color of state law. They are being sued in their individual and official capacities.
- 5. At all relevant times. Unknown Philadelphia Police Officers John Does (hereinafter "The Officers") were acting under color of state law, and the actions taken by the Defendants deprived Plaintiff of his constitutional and statutory rights.

1,

Factual Allegations

- 6. On or about September 2, 2006, Plaintiff was standing quietly in a Chinese food store in the City and County of Philadelphia.
- 7. Without warning, several of the Officers ran into the store. Plaintiff was frightened and put his hands in the area, whereupon one of the Officers struck Plaintiff with a blunt club in Plaintiff's temple, causing Plaintiff to fall to the ground. The Officers continued beating Plaintiff until he was unconscious.

- 8. Plaintiff committed no crime and no act to provoke or cause the Officers to touch him in any way. Despite this, after the Officers terrible assault upon Plaintiff, Plaintiff was falsely arrested and accused of assaulting the police.
- 9. The Officers' actions violated Plaintiffs' clearly established constitutional and statutory rights and caused the Plaintiffs to sustain harm and injury.
- 10. As a direct and proximate result of the actions of Defendants. Plaintiffs suffered and continue to suffer injury, suffering and emotional distress, some or all of which may be permanent, as well as financial losses.
- 11. The Officers acted willfully, deliberately, maliciously and with reckless disregard of the constitutional and statutory rights of the Plaintiffs.
- 12. The Officers engaged in the aforesaid conduct for the purpose of yiolating the Plaintiffs' constitutional rights by subjecting them to unreasonable force, threats and verbal abuse.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS

The allegations set forth paragraphs 1-12 are incorporated by reference as if set forth fully herein.

13. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' conduct, committed under color of state law. Plaintiff was deprived of his right to be free from the unreasonable use of force, to be secure in his person and property and to due process of law. As a result, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer harm, in violation of the laws and Constitution of the United States. in particular the Fourth. Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments thereof. and 42 U.S.C. §1983.

ŗ

- 14. As a direct and proximate result of the acts and omissions of the Defendants. Plaintiff sustained injury, emotional distress, psychological harm and financial losses, all to his detriment and loss.
- 15. Defendant City of Philadelphia has encouraged, tolerated, ratified and has been deliberately indifferent to the following patterns, practices and customs and to the need for more or different training, supervision, investigation or discipline in the areas of:
 - a. The use of unreasonable force and abuse of police powers by police officers:
- b. The proper exercise of police powers, including but not limited to the use of force. verbal abuse and threats, particularly in connection with perceived challenges to or criticism of police authority:
- c. The monitoring of officers whom it knew or should have known were suffering from emotional and/or psychological problems that impaired their ability to function as officers;
- d. The failure to identify and take remedial or disciplinary action against police officers who were the subject of prior civilian or internal complaints of misconduct:
- e. The failure of police officers to report the misconduct and unlawful behavior of other officers, a practice and custom known as the Code of Silence:
- f. The failure to provide an effective means by which to monitor the performance of police officers, to investigate allegations of police misconduct, and to impose discipline and other remedial measures where officers are found to have engaged in misconduct or to have violated established procedures and practices: and

- g. The failure of police officers to follow established policies, procedures, directives and instructions regarding the use of force under such circumstances as presented in this case.
- 16. Defendant City of Philadelphia has failed to properly sanction or discipline officers, who are aware of and subsequently conceal and/or aid and abet violations of constitutional rights of citizens by other City of Philadelphia police officers, thus causing and encouraging police, including the defendant officers in this case, to violate the rights of citizens such as Plaintiff.
- 17: By these actions. Defendants have deprived Plaintiff of rights secured by the Eighth, Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and 42 U.S.C. §1983.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

STATE LAW CLAIMS

The allegations set forth paragraphs 1-17 are incorporated by reference as if set forth fully herein.

22. The acts and conduct of the Officers constitute assault and battery and false imprisonment under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

WHEREFORE. Plaintiff requests the following:

- a. Compensatory damages as to all defendants:
- b. Punitive damages as to the Officers:
- c. Reasonable attorney's fees and costs as to all Defendants:
- d. Trial by jury; and.

e. Such other and further relief as appears reasonable and just.

HOCHBERG, LEVIN & ZEIGER, LLP

Verification

I. Malcolm Peele, hereby state that I am the Plaintiff in this action and verify that the statements made in the foregoing document are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I also understand that the statements are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S.A. 84904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

· 8-29.08

DATE

Malcolm Peele